

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/914,453 | 08/27/2001 | Kyoko Furumura | 450108-02922 | . 4175 |
| 20999 | 7590 11/30/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG | | | NGUYEN, KIEN T | |
| | AVENUE- 10TH FL. L, NY 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 3711 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | (₁) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/914,453 | FURUMURA, KYOKO | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Kien T. Nguyen | . 3711 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wit | th the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA | CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1 | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allo | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicat | ion. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an | d/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor | rection is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the p | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 🗂 | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/27/01</u> . | | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the predetermined behavioral model" and "the user's operation" in lines 2-3, and 6. There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Claims 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 are indefinite for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Claim 3 recites the limitations "the predetermined growth model" and "the user's operation" in lines 2-3, and 6. There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Claims 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12 are indefinite for the same reason as set forth in claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,453

Art Unit: 3711

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sharpe, III et al U.S. Patent 6,012,961.

Sharpe, III et al disclosed an editing apparatus having a reprogrammable digital memory for controlling a predetermined behavioral model of a robot device (34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharpe, III et al U.S. Patent 6,012,961.

It is noted that Sharpe, III et al failed to specifically teach the display means for visibly displaying growth model and editing the growth model. However, the robot device (34) of Sharpe, III et al is reprogrammable and capable of displaying any particular programmed model. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice to program the robot of Sharpe, III et al to perform any particular model.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

Ktn